

Project Report

Client	Date Evaluation Report	Project Name	P.O. Number
Client Company Name Contact Person	29 June 2017	Example	LoE 13 June 2017
Address 1	Date Commissioned	Date Completed	Project Manager
Address 2 City, Province and Country	8 June 2017	25 June 2017	Name

Research Topic	Research Methodology	Research Locations	
Furniture Procurement	Semi-Depth interviews	Cairo, Egypt	
Deliverables			
Semi-transcripts and audio recordings			

Fieldwork Appraisal

Construction Sector	Target Sample	Achieved Sample	
Small companies (10-49 employees)	3	3	
Large companies (250+ employees)	3	3	
Lol (minutes)	30	50.5	
Service Sector			
Companies with 10-49 employees	6	6	
Minimum in information and communication	1	2	
Minimum in finance services	1	3	
Minimum in professional, scientific and technical activities	1	1	
Membership organisation	1	0	
Any of the above	2		
Lol (minutes	30	37.8	
Interior Designers			
Office Design Companies	2	2	
Residential Interior Design Companies	3	3	
Lol (minutes)	30	42.0	
Total	17	17	

Project Timings

	<u>Target</u>	<u>Actual</u>
Commissioning	8 June 2017	
Commissioning Set-up	9 June	9 June
Recruitment	10-12 June	10-24 June
Interviewing	11-16 June	13-25 June
Conclusion of semi-transcripts	17-18 June	25 June



Due Diligence Assessment	
Measure	Findings
Survey preparation	 Preparation of the survey included: Reformatting of the client's original questionnaires in order to make them more effective for the interviews and data entry operators. Written fieldwork instructions (including sample plan). Programming of the online data entry module for generation of semi-transcripts. The survey preparation has been detailed and clear.
Audio recording of interviews	 All interviews have been audio recorded and listened to in part or in full depending on the evident quality. The recordings are part of the deliverables to the client.
Eligibility of respondents	 Verified from the audio recordings and websites. Business cards provided for 14 out of the 17 interviews. Three respondents did not have business cards with them at the time of the interview (out of the office).
Quality of semi-transcripts	 Randomly selected segments of recordings were compared with the semi-transcripts and found to be to adequate standard. Truncation of verbatim responses has however taken place, but within levels deemed reasonable.
Problem solving	 The survey has taken 7 days longer than planned. The main cause of the delay was due to the survey taking place during the month of Ramadan, when respondents are harder to pin down for an interview. However, agreed timings were with full advance knowledge of interviews taking place during this month. The team has therefore underestimated the duration of the survey.
Updating the client	 Client updates to the client were regular but insufficient in detail regarding the recruitment progress. The client was therefore not in a position to approve respondents before interviews took place. Though the short fieldwork time goes towards explaining this short coming (with recruitment rolling into interviewing on the same day), the team's performance in this regard was below the required standard.
Standard of deliverables	 Delivered as per the requirement of the client in a neat and clear fashion. The quality of the recordings is good.

Overall Evaluation

- Though the survey has been executed to a good standard, the delay will doubtlessly have been an inconvenience to the client.
- The team has however been responsive by endeavours to keeping the delay to a minimum.

Performance Rating: (10=excellent/1 = poor): 6

• Though the survey has been executed to a good standard, the delay will doubtlessly have been an inconvenience to the client.

This evaluation has been prepared by the Project Manager for this survey in cooperation with - as well as inspected by WRA's QA team.

On behalf of [WRA Member]

On behalf of World Research Alliance

Mr. Member
Designation

Peter Waterman
Head of Quality Assurance